

ThermoFisher SCIENTIFIC

Evaluating Management Paradigms for the Respiratory Patient

Tracy R. Wilson, DNP, MSN.Ed., FNP-C, RN, CNE Clinical Educator SNAP Symposium April 13th, 2019

Program Objectives

- Highlight the essential role of clinicians in the diagnosis and management of allergies and asthma
- Recognize the challenges that clinicians face when managing patients with allergy-like symptoms
- Convey the quality of life benefits associated with targeted exposure reduction to allergens
- Convey the clinical and economic benefits of targeted exposure reduction
- Explain accuracy, test interpretation, and ordering for the Thermo Scientific[™] ImmunoCAP[™] specific IgE blood test



A Mission We Are Proud Of:

We enable our customers to make the world healthier, cleaner and safer.



ImmunoCAP

91/2 10-0000 HO	date 14.4 a cre House dust ren 66. 14.4 08	er muno	DT]352AY
4/lergen f49, Exp date gen k205 ALENDE	n pratense n pratense inscrimt a Exp d ander 36081 a Exp d	Ilergen c1, Continant Con 716	



Advantages of ImmunoCAP as an Allergy Diagnostic Tool^{1,2}

- FDA-cleared quantitative measure of specific IgE
- Most widely used specific IgE blood test, documented in > 4,000 peer-reviewed publications
- Interchangeable with skin prick testing



1. Johansson SGO. Expert Rev Mol Diagn. 2004;4:273-279. 2. Hamilton RG. Pediatric Allergy: Principles & Practice. St Louis, MO: Mosby-Year Book, Inc; 2003:233-242.



No interference from any medications
One blood draw any time of day
For adults and children/infants with allergy-like symptoms¹
Lab-designed profiles

1. Data on file



Regional Respiratory Profile

0

0

0

 Δ



Region 8: IL, MO, IA

Alternaria alternata, m6 Aspergillus fumigatus, m3 Bermuda grass (Cynodon dactylon), g2 Cat dander, e1 Cladosporium herbarum, m2 Cockroach, i6 Common ragweed (short; Ambrosia) Cottonwood (Populous deltoids), t14 D. farinae, d2 D. pteronyssinus, D1 Dog dander, e5 Elm (Ulmus americana), t8 Maple (box elder, Acer negindo), t1 Maple Leaf sycamore, London Plane, t11 Mountain Cedar (Juniperus Sabinoides), t6 Mouse Urine, e72 Oak (Quercus alba), t7 Pecan/Hickory (carya soecue, pecan), t22 Penicillium Notatum, m1 Rough marsh elder (Iva), w16 Rough pigweed (Amaranthus retroflexus), w14 Russian Thistle (Saltwort, salsola kali), w1 Timothy grass (Phleum pratense), g6 Walnut (Juglans californica), t10 White Ash (Fraxinus Americana), t15 White Mulberry, t70

scientífic

X



7

Food Allergy Profile

Three national profiles

Regional Respiratory Profile

Food Allergy Profile

Early Childhood Profile

The following allergens are typically included in a food allergy profile:

Clam, f207 Cod sh, f3 Corn (Maize), f8 Egg white, f1 Milk, f2 Peanut, f13 Scallop, f338 Sesame, f10

Shrimp, f24 Soybean, f14 Walnut, f256 Wheat, f4











Early Childhood Profile

۰

0

Three national profiles

Regional Respiratory Profile

Food Allergy Profile

Early Childhood Profile

The allergens included in the early childhood profile have been pre-selected by your laboratory provider based on perennial and food allergen data and disease prevalence. Each profile has been optimized for predictive value and efficiency of the testing process and typically includes:

> Cat dander, e1 Cockroach, i6 Cod fish, f3 Cow's milk, f2 Dog dander, e5

Egg white, f1 House Dust Mite (D. farinae), d2 House Dust Mite (D. pteronyssinus), d1 Mold (A. alternata), m6 Mold (C. herbarum), m2



Esp S

Peanut, f13 Shrimp, f24 Soybean, f14 Walnut, f256 Wheat, f4 Total IgE







Asthma





Asthma: Clinical and Economic Burden¹



- 1. Asthma in the US. http://www.cdc.gov/nchs/fastats/asthma.htm Accessed Feb 12, 2013.
- 2. cdc.gov/asthma/asthmadata.htm. National Surveillance of Asthma: United States, 2001–2010, Series 3, Number 35 Novem 2012 Accessed March 7, 2013.
- 3. Amer. Lung Assoc. Asthma in Adults. www.lung.org/lung-disease/asthma/resources/facts-and-figures/asthma-in-adults.html. Accessed March 7, 2013.
- 4. http://www.cdc.gov/vitalsigns/asthma. Accessed March 7, 2013.



• Most patients with asthma are managed by primary care



1. Kwong KYC, Eghrari-Sabet JS, Mendoza GR, et al. The benefits of specific Immunoglobulin e testing in the primary care setting. Am Manag Care. 2011;17:S447-S459.



Core Components of Asthma Care¹







1. Characteristics of allergic sensitization among asthmatic adults older than 55 years: results from the National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey, 2005-2006 Original Research Article Annals of Allergy, Asthma & Immunology, Volume 110, Issue 4, April 2013, Pages 247-252 Image retrieved from: https://www.hopepaige.com/how-to-properly-use-your-asthma-inhaler.aspx





1. Høst A, et al. *Allergy*. 2000;55:600-608. Image retrieved from: https://www.hopepaige.com/how-to-properly-use-your-asthma-inhaler.aspx



2007 NIH Asthma Management Guidelines¹

Stepwise Approach for Managing Asthma

Intermittent asthma Persistent asthma: daily medication Consult with Asthma Specialist if Step 4 or higher is required Consider consultation at Step 3



Environmental Controls

 Indicated across all levels of severity

Allergy Testing

- Indicated for at least patients with persistent asthma
- Skin or *in vitro* tests may be used
- Category A evidence

1. NIH. Guidelines for the Diagnosis and Management of Asthma, 2007. NIH publication 08-4051.



Is History Sufficient to Identify Allergic Triggers?¹



High False Positive Rates w/ Structured **History Alone**

- 32% cat
- 48% grass pollen
- 75% dust mite
- **54%** tree pollen
- 27% dog

Is structured allergy history sufficient when assessing patients with asthma and rhinitis in general practice?

Helen E. Smith, DM, FFPHM.* Claire Hogger,^b Camilie Lallemant, MSc.* David Crook, PhD,* and Anthony J. Frew, MD, Background: Many United Kingdom patients with asthma and chining are allervie, but is originary care few dismostle and Key words: Allergy history, primary care, skin prick tests, validity distinguishing the cause of disease and in selecting appropriate Objectives: Our objective was to estimate the extent to which a formal allergy assessment (a structured allergy history and skin prick tests to 5 common aeroallergens) would improve the precision of allergy diagnosis compared with a patient's selfreport or the structured allergy history alone.

Methods: One hundred twenty-seven patients with asthma, internors: One outparent overlay-seven patients with associate rhinitis, or both were recruited from 4 general practices in Wesser, United Kingdom, Allergy status based on the patient's resset, cauce associate association of the protection of the protection opinion and on structured allergy history alone was compared memory and an associated more provided in the second state of the an independent allergy specialist reviewing the files. Patients an merepension anergy spectrum reviewing the nuss rations were given written advice specific to their allergies and followed up 3 months later to assess satisfaction, recall, and effect on Results: Self-reporting misclassified allergic status in many

Resurce: our reporting instantion anergic solution in many patients. A structured allergy history alone was little better and resulted in false-positive rates for cat allergy of 32%, grass resurced to make positive rates for the american anergy in the second pollen of 44%, house dust mite of 75%, tree pollen of 54%, and dog of 27 c compared with formal allergy assessment. Skin ong on as a compared with a structured history was essential to reach a correct causative diagnosis. Three months later, 41% nations had made changes to lifestyle, medications, or both, and Conclusions: Skin prick testing improves the accuracy of an constantiation of allergic status based on patient opinion or a

structured allergy history alone. (J Allergy Clin Immunol 2009;123:646-50.)

From Mar Division of Primary Care and Public Health, Brighton and Samar Medical School, Brighton: We School of Medicine, Tournessty of Sorthszapine; and 'dea Department of Requirements, Beighton Grant Haspath AK: Arkelly Deviced the de Margens and ten stretchick free of charge. Decisions of noteerial conflict of interact: A 1. Free has received homeore from and Alk-Anetty provided the strangens not not interact free of charge. Duckness of potential conflict of interact, A.J. Fare has received homoratia from and Auctions of potential conflict of interest: A. J. Fares has received interests from and served on abitistry burgle for ALK-Abdily, has received specificary boronics and manage shows from Adargy Throughouts, at word as no addieve for the Party and Classical Entrumology. The test of the anthrus have declared that they have an conflict of interest. meroammero er annexe. Received for publications April 15, 2006; revised October 21, 2008; accepted for publica-construction of a second secon Ean November 7, 2008. Available results 7, 2009. Repeat results: Hales Status, D.M., FPPIM, Davisan of Primary Care and Public Hendre, Highens and Status Medical School, Meydeld Home, Fahrer, Brighten BNJ 1997, United Kingdom E-mail: h.e.anath-thema.ac.ad. event.at/addx,000 WEB DATE OF A DESCRIPTION OF A DESCRI

Atopic diseases are a common reason for consulting United Kingdom (UK) general practitioners (GPs), representing up to 6% to all consultations and accounting for 10% of primary care of all consultations and accounting for 10% of primary care or an consumming and meconing or fore or primary care prescribing costs.¹ Many patients with asthma or thinkis symppresenting usits. Interpretation with manufacture of interface of the present of none nerve margines, one in parmary one comprisions and memory ment decisions are usually made without taking a formal allergy history or performing skin prick tests (SPTs).³ Failing to distinguish between allergic and nonallergic causes leads to empiric gunar unverse a start gas man monatoring a structure and the start is decisions on treatment. Advice regarding allergen avoidance is ectsoons on usamen. Auvier regarding ane gen around an either not given or, if given, is nonspecific to the patient's problem come and great to, it great to integration of SPTs into general proand other unservice range, has granted to a service grant and the test in the service symptom control and also to the service has been predicted to improve symptom control and also to increase the cost-effectiveness of managing atopic disease through appropriate targeting of medication and allergy avoid-ance advice. 4 accourse. SPTs to common aeroallergens are safe⁶ and fensible in a com-

Ser is an examinon acroating gens are one and massion on a com-munity setting but are rarely offered in UK general practice. One

reason is cost: GP3 have to pay for the test reagents theree/ver/ reason as cost. Or a nave to pay for the test reagents themselves, Another factor might be the disappointing results of one published running raction imput on the disappointing results of one photosteci-study of nurse-led SPTs, which failed to achieve the expected improvement in diagnostic precision because the nurses seemed interviewees a suggestion presented to make the suggestion reactivity rather than integrating these data with the clinical history.⁵ activity range that integrating trease that with the station moving, In that study the number of planned allergen avoidance intervento use soury no number of painties anergen averagine more energed tions decreased by only 9% after SPTs. However, further analysis trans successed by only 2 w area at an entropy of internet many and revealed that if avoidance interventions had been targeted entirely appropriately (ie. to those patients with both a positive SPT appropriately the to more patients what form a positive set a response and a positive elimical history), then the number of response man a positive curricul instory), uncer the institute of avoidance measures could have been reduced by more than 50%. If UK GPs are to incorporate SPTs into their daily practice, they It UNATES are to incorporate of 1a monthly interaction only processes only will need convincing that a structured allergy history and SPTs that interview on the patient's or GP's unsupported assessment of the improve on one panents of Or 5 unsupported assessment of his or her allergic status and that such interventions are acceptable

METHODS

ACLITUUS We identified adult patients (18-55 years of age) given diagnoses of rhinitis, we assessme a numeration of a set of the set animum, or point from one comparisoner records next up a general protocol to Western, UK. To essure that their problems were still active, we excluded wereas, too, to chose into this proteins were and solve, we exclude appoint who had not requested a problem-related commission within the acyone: wan can no requester a processor resultance vontainess with a bistory of anaphylaxis (but not mild angios) precessing year: incose wince a shrangy or anagety-mass (roug not mate angreevorus) or articles(a) were excluded, as were those who had had an SPT within the or attacknass were exclusively as were more when the rate and set a whenth the preceding 2 years. The patients were invited by letter from their practice to processing a years. Line partnerss were neutron on where from their practice to participate in the study. They also received a patient information leaffet and a possequences as one stanty, rany and received a parson information instant and realized and reply skip with a stamped addressed envelope. Those agreeing to take part repry stop state a sampase measured curvenge. Atom spreading in state part reproduct to a storing questionnaite given by telephone to confirm their eligibility and uses their given an appointment for alleged Massimet. Written concernents to matricenses in the story was colouded at the times of this measurement. angeomy and more more given an approximate to marry machiners of a consense to participate in the study was obtained at the time of this assess musiques to the saley was constants of the time to intermediate

1. Smith HE, et al. Journal of Allergy and Clinical Immunology. 2009;123:646-50.



Case Study: 3 year old male "Cough and wheeze"



3 yo male

Presentation

- Cough & wheeze, worse at night
- No problems at Dad's home

Past Medical History

- Several ED/office visits for acute respiratory distress x 1 yr
- Parents confirm mild eczema as an infant
- Immunizations up to date



Social History

- Only child
- Large group daycare
- No pets at home
- No smokers at home

Meds

- Budesonide .5 mg bid via nebulizer
- Montelukast 5 mg qd
- Albuterol 3-4x/week for nighttime wheezing



Physical Exam

- RR=20, ht 50%, wt 60%
- WDWN male toddler; Active, NAD
- HEENT: Scant clear nasal discharge
- Lungs: Scattered wheezes bilaterally
- Skin: Clear

Now what?



Allergen	kU _A /L (nl <0.10)
Cockroach	20.1
House dust mite (D. pteronyssinus)	1.34
House dust mite (D. farinae)	0.58
Meadow/June grass (Kentucky blue)	0.45
Oak	0.43
Common ragweed	0.41
Maple, Boxelder	0.39
Rough marshelder	0.37
Orchard grass (Cocksfoot)	0.35
Common silver birch	<0.10
Cat dander	<0.10
Dog dander	<0.10
Elm	<0.10
Mold (A. alternata)	<0.10
Mold (A. fumigatus)	<0.10
Mold (<i>C. herbarum</i>)	<0.10
Total IgE	380 kU/L



Counseling the Patient Regarding Targeted Exposure Reduction



- Make a plan with the patient
- Rank positive results in order from high to low IgE measurements
- Consider reducing exposure to allergens with the highest IgE levels first
- Focus on indoor triggers, since they may be easier to control



Counseling the Patient Regarding Targeted Exposure Reduction





Clinical Diagnosis

- Moderate Persistent Allergic Asthma
- Allergic Rhinitis

Treatment – Parents agree and have the ability to:

- Targeted exposure reduction: Cockroach, dust mites, pollens
- Continue present meds



Follow-Up:

- Now on budesonide 0.25 mg qd
- Exacerbations only with upper respiratory infections





A Multifaceted Home-based Environmental Intervention

- 937 inner-city children
- Intervention activities tailored to child's sensitization profile
- Targeted allergen exposure reduction improves asthma control

1. Morgan WJ, et al. *N Engl J Med.* 2004;351(11):1068-1080.





- 2 years with Targeted Exposure Reduction
- Bedroom-only interventions
- 34 fewer days of wheezing; effect similar to ICS therapy



1. Morgan WJ, et al. *N Engl J Med*. 2004;351(11):1068-1080.





Rhinitis





Distribution of URD in US¹⁻⁴

 40% of total population (122M of 307M) have URD¹⁻⁴



1. NIAID. Sinus infection (sinusitis) web page. http://www3.niaid.nih.gov/topics/sinusitis/. Accessed September 1, 2009.

- 2. ACAAI News Release. November 12, 2006.
- 3. Asthma and Allergy Foundation of America. PNAR-Perennial Non-Allergic Rhinitis. <u>http://www.aafa.org/print.cfm?id=9&sub=19&cont=266</u> Accessed September 1, 2009.
- 4. US Census Bureau. US & World Population Clock. http://www.census.gov/main/www/popclock.html.



Similar Symptoms – different causes

Allergic Rhinitis	Non-Allergic Rhinitis	Infectious Rhinitis
Nasal Congestion	Nasal Congestion	Nasal Congestion
Nasal Discharge	Nasal Discharge	Nasal Discharge
Sneezing	Sneezing	Sneezing
Nasal Pruritis		Headache



Improve Patient QoL & Productivity^{1,2,3}



- Reduce costs associated with absenteeism
- Improved productivity
- Appropriate use of medication
- Reduced medication costs
- Fewer unscheduled doctor visits

1. Welsh N, et al. J Am Pharm Assoc. 2006;46:627.

Szeinbach SL, Williams B, Muntendam P, et al. Identification of allergic disease among users of antihistamines. *J Manag Care Pharm.* 2004; 10 (3): 234-238.
Szeinbach SL, Seoane-Vazquez EC, Beyer A, Williams PB. The impact of allergic rhinitis on work productivity. *Prim Care Respir J* 2007;16(2):98-105.



Allergic or Non-Allergic Rhinitis?

- Allergic and non-allergic rhinitis have similar symptoms but different causes
- In 2/3 (65%) of patients, symptoms were non-allergic in origin
- Testing identifies sensitization



1. Szeinbach SL, Williams B, Muntendam P, et al. Identification of allergic disease among users of antihistamines. J Manag Care Pharm. 2004;10(3):234-238.



Case Study: 66 year old female "Frequent sinus headaches"



66 yo female

Presentation

- Chronic rhinosinusitis
- Frequent "sinus" headaches
- Persistent postnasal drainage

Social History

- Retired, widowed
- Lives in apartment with beloved cat





Past Medical History

- States "allergic" to multiple foods/meds, esp. antibiotics
- Presumes URD symptoms due to cat allergy
- Previous choking sensation from thick, mucoid PND
- Records indicate ENT eval Θ for posterior pharyngeal mass or polyps

Meds

- Loratadine 10 mg
- Rx nasal steroids prn
- Freq OTC decongestant nasal sprays
- Freq courses of antibiotics



Physical Exam

- VSS, NAD
- HEENT: Clear mucus
- Lungs: Clear to Auscultation
- Skin: Within normal limits

Now what?



Allergen	kU _A /L (nl <0.10)
Maple, Boxelder	<0.10
Orchard grass (Cocksfoot)	<0.10
House dust mite (D. pteronyssinus)	<0.10
Common ragweed	<0.10
Cat dander	<0.10
Elm	<0.10
Lamb's-quarter (Goosefoot)	<0.10
Oak	<0.10
Common silver birch	<0.10
Mold (A. alternata)	<0.10
Dog dander	<0.10
White ash	<0.10
Cockroach	<0.10
Total IgE	43



Clinical Diagnosis

- NON-allergic rhinosinusitis
- Rhinitis Medicamentosa?

Treatment

- D/C antihistamine
- D/C nasal decongestant
- "Re-educate" on use of nasal steroid
 - Increase to QD (daily), appropriate technique
- Consider re-evaluating in 2-4 weeks upon change in tx



Type 2 diabetes	
Hx & PE >>>> lab tests >>>> die	et and exercise >>>>> pharmacotherapy
Dyslipidemia	
Hx & PE >>>> lipid profile >>>> die	et and exercise >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>
Asthma	
Hx & PE >>>> IgE profile >>>>	Targeted exposure reduction



Tracy Wilson, DNP, MSN.Ed., RN, FNP-BC, CNE Clinical Educator tracy.wilson@thermofisher.com 615-967-9797

